



## Appendix C Prioritization Criteria

## SCORING CRITERIA

### *1. Urban and Community Streets (Grass Valley, Nevada City, and Truckee)*

#### **Directly accesses key destinations (schools, commercial centers, regional destinations, and low-income housing)**

- 0 – Does not access key destination
- 1 – Directly accesses (with frontage on) one key destination
- 2 – Directly accesses (with frontage on) two or more key destinations

#### **Closure of a critical gap**

- 0 – Does not close a critical gap
- 1 – Somewhat closes a critical gap
- 2 – Directly closes a critical gap

#### **Facilitates Intercity Travel**

- 0 – Does not provide a usable intercity connection
- 2 – Provides a usable intercity connection

#### **Level of Utilitarian Use**

- 0 – Little utilitarian use
- 1 – Medium utilitarian use
- 2 – High utilitarian use

#### **Bicycle Collisions**

- 0 – No bicycle collisions
- 1 – Bicycle collisions recorded
- 2 – Bicycle fatality or numerous collisions recorded

#### **Feasibility**

- 0 – Long-term; requires significant roadway reconstruction or neighborhood redevelopment
- 1 – Potential for short-term implementation; high costs likely
- 2 – Potential for short-term implementation; relatively low cost

Notes:

Each facility scored according to the above criteria, on a scale from 0 (low) to 2 (high)

## **SCORING CRITERIA**

### ***2. County Roads (Unincorporated Nevada County)***

#### **Average Daily Traffic (ADT)**

- 0 – Below 2,000
- 1 – 2,000-7,000
- 2 – Above 7,000

#### **Bicycle Collisions**

- 0 – No bicycle collisions
- 1 – Bicycle collisions recorded
- 2 – Bicycle fatality or numerous collisions recorded

#### **Vehicle Collision Density**

- 0 – Below Average
- 1 – Above Average
- 2 – Very High Collision Density

#### **Vehicle Speed (Speed Limit)**

- 0 – At or below 30 mph
- 1 – 35 to 45 mph
- 2 – Above 45 mph

#### **Level of Recreational/Utilitarian Use**

- 0 – Little use
- 1 – Medium use
- 2 – High use

#### **Feasibility**

- 0 – Long-term; requires significant roadway reconstruction or neighborhood redevelopment
- 1 – Potential for short-term implementation; high costs likely
- 2 – Potential for short-term implementation; relatively low cost

Notes:

Each facility scored according to the above criteria, on a scale from 0 (low) to 2 (high)